SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, JUNE 25, 2009 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S158076 C052606 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. DIECK (RONALD WILLIAM)

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed Majority Opinion by Moreno, J.

-- joined by George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ.

S172723 WIGGINS (KEITH E.) ON

H.O

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.

S172257 F053858 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS

(BOBBY LEE)

Petition for review denied

S172879 A121814 First Appellate District, Div. 3 NAVARRA (CARL J.) v. CITY

OF OAKLAND

Petition for review denied

S171677 LAUCELLA (ROBERT) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S172899 BROWN (KENYON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S172976 MCCASKILL (RODNEY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S097414

PEOPLE v. KOPATZ (KIM RAYMOND)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 18, 2009.

S103087

PEOPLE v. POST (JOHN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Ralph H. Goldsen's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 14, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 14, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S121365

SNOW (PRENTICE JUAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Sara M. Cohbra's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 16, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 21, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S158710

LYNCH (FRANKLIN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Alison Bernstein's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 20, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 11, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days are contemplated.

S160990

DEMETRULIAS (GREGORY SPIROS) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Brad D. Levenson's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 26, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 27, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S164614 C057766 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. S.C. (SPARKS)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to July 22, 2009.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S171895 G039028 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. HERRERA (HONORIO MORENO)

Extension of time granted

On application of Respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Opening Brief on the Merits is hereby extended to July 20, 2009.

S151790

HOFFMAN ON RESIGNATION

Order filed

Due to a clerical error by the State Bar Court, the order filed on April 13, 2007, accepting the voluntary resignation of BITA LOGHMAN HOFFMAN, State Bar Number 159205, from the practice of law is amended nunc pro tunc to read in its entirety:

"The voluntary resignation of BITA LOGHMAN HOFFMAN, State Bar No. 159205, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should she hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that she comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* It is recommended that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)"

S172835

LOGAN ON RESIGNATION

Order filed

Due to a clerical error by the State Bar Court, the order filed on May 13, 2009, accepting the voluntary resignation of JOHN THOMAS LOGAN, State Bar Number 66623, from the practice of law is amended nunc pro tunc to read in its entirety:

"The voluntary resignation of JOHN THOMAS LOGAN, State Bar Number 66623, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If JOHN THOMAS LOGAN subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

JOHN THOMAS LOGAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in

connection with any future reinstatement proceedings.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment."

S172842

HARVEY ON RESIGNATION

Order filed

Due to a clerical error by the State Bar Court, the order filed on May 13, 2009, accepting the voluntary resignation of DENNIS STEWART HARVEY, State Bar Number 61440, from the practice of law is amended nunc pro tunc to read in its entirety:

"The voluntary resignation of DENNIS STEWART HARVEY, State Bar Number 61440, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If DENNIS STEWART HARVEY subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

DENNIS STEWART HARVEY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in connection with any future reinstatement proceedings.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment."